REVIEW Open Access



# Mesenchymal stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles affect disease outcomes via transfer of microRNAs

Guanguan Qiu<sup>1</sup>, Guoping Zheng<sup>1</sup>, Menghua Ge<sup>1</sup>, Jiangmei Wang<sup>2</sup>, Ruoqiong Huang<sup>2</sup>, Qiang Shu<sup>2\*</sup> and Jianguo Xu<sup>1,3\*</sup>

#### Abstract

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are adult stromal cells with the capacity to differentiate into multiple types of cells. MSCs represent an attractive option in regenerative medicine due to their multifaceted abilities for tissue repair, immunosuppression, and anti-inflammation. Recent studies demonstrate that MSCs exert their effects via paracrine activity, which is at least partially mediated by extracellular vesicles (EVs). MSC-derived EVs (MSC-EVs) could mimic the function of parental MSCs by transferring their components such as DNA, proteins/peptides, mRNA, microRNA (miRNA), lipids, and organelles to recipient cells. In this review, we aim to summarize the mechanism and role of miRNA transfer in mediating the effects of MSC-EVs in the models of human diseases. The first three sections of the review discuss the sorting of miRNAs into EVs, uptake of EVs by target cells, and functional transfer of miRNAs via EVs. Then, we describe the composition of miRNAs in MSC-EVs. Next, we provide the existing evidence that MSC-EVs affect the outcomes of renal, liver, heart, and brain diseases by transferring their miRNA contents. In conclusion, EV-mediated miRNA transfer plays an important role in disease-modulating capacity of MSCs.

Keywords: Mesenchymal stromal (stem) cells, Extracellular vesicles, microRNA, Exosomes, Microvesicles

# **Background**

Mesenchymal stem (stromal) cells (MSCs) are a heterogeneous population of progenitor cells which possess angiogenic, anti-apoptotic, and immunomodulatory properties. Many clinical trials including the work from our group [1] have tested the applications of MSCs in a wide range of diseases [2, 3]. The initial enthusiasm for MSCs in tissue repair was based on the findings that MSCs are able to home to the damaged tissues and differentiate into many types of cells. However, it has been solidly demonstrated that only a small fraction of the transplanted MSCs actually are able to engraft in host tissues. Then, it was proposed that paracrine soluble factors secreted by MSCs were responsible for the mechanism since conditioned medium from MSCs was also able to exert similar effects

on the damaged tissues [4]. In recent years, the literature supports that the paracrine mechanism of MSCs is mediated at least in part by extracellular vesicles (EVs) [5]. Also, MSCs are one of the most efficient producers of EVs among different cell types [6].

EVs are membrane-contained vesicles released by most types of cells including MSCs. A crucial criterion of EVs is that they must be harvested from extracellular fluids such as tissue culture or bodily compartment through ultracentrifugation based on the position statement from the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles (ISEV) [7]. EVs can be broadly classified into three subtypes based upon vesicle sizes and methods of cellular release: exosomes (30–130 nm), microvesicles (100–1000 nm), and apoptotic bodies (50–4000 nm). Exosomes are produced after the fusion of multivesicular bodies (MVB), which are endocytic organelles containing many luminal vesicles, with the plasma membrane. On the other hand, both microvesicles and apoptotic bodies are formed by direct

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: shuqiang@zju.edu.cn; jxu5@yahoo.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Children's Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine, 3333 Binsheng Road, Hangzhou 310051, Zhejiang, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Shaoxing Second Hospital, 123 Yanan Road, Shaoxing 312000, Zhejiang, China

budding from the plasma membrane. There is a lack of distinctive approaches to separate the three subtypes of EVs as they have overlapping size, density, and membrane composition [8]. EVs have been tested as disease biomarkers since EVs reflect the status of their parent cells [9]. Furthermore, EVs have the capacity to transfer molecules, such as DNA, proteins, mRNA, microRNA (miRNA), lipids, and organelles, from their originating cells to the recipient cells and serve as a mechanism for intercellular communication. More and more evidences reveal that EVs mediate the effects of parental cells via miRNA delivery [10].

The majority of total RNA content in EVs includes tRNAs, mRNAs, miRNAs, and long non-coding RNAs. Sequence analysis showed that approximately 13% of the total RNA content of EVs from milk is miRNAs [11]. miRNAs are 19-24 nucleotide non-coding RNAs, which modulate the expression of up to 30% of all mammalian protein-encoding genes [12]. In the biogenesis of miR-NAs, primary miRNAs (Pri-miRNAs), containing cap structures and poly(A) tails, are transcribed by RNA polymerase II. Pri-miRNAs are cleaved by the RNAse Drosha into hairpin precursor miRNAs with 60-70 nucleotides (pre-miRNAs) in the nucleus. Pre-miRNAs are then cleaved into double-strand mature miRNAs by another RNase Dicer in the cytosol [13]. Next, one strand of mature miRNAs associates with agonaute 2 (ago2) and forms the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). The miRNA in the complex is then able to interact primarily with 3'-untranslated region of target mRNAs via base pairing complementarity and leads to target degradation or translational repression [14]. This article reviews the existing literature on the sorting and trafficking of miRNAs as well as the role of miRNA transfer in mediating the effects of MSC-derived EVs (MSC-EVs) in diseases of vital organs, including the kidney, heart, liver, and brain.

# Sorting of miRNAs into EVs

Many studies have shown that miRNAs are selectively sorted in EVs. Such sorting of miRNAs was demonstrated in PC-3 prostate cancer cells in which miRNAs with a low number in their names were found to be underrepresented in EVs [15]. In dendritic cells, sequencing analysis showed that some miRNAs in EVs were enriched when compared with the cellular miRNAs [16]. Selective enrichment of miRNAs including Th2 inhibitory miRNAs into EVs was revealed in bronchoalveolar lavage of mice after horse dust mite exposure. Increased release of EVs into the airway was involved in the pathogenesis of dust mite allergen-induced airway inflammation [17]. Furthermore, when THP-1 cells were transfected with chemically modified miR-143, abundant modified miR-143 was identified in EVs of the culture medium [18].

The secretory mechanism of miRNAs has been postulated and is regulated by a ceramide-dependent pathway. Ceramide is synthesized by neutral sphingomyelinase 2 (nSMase2) and controls the secretion of EVs. Decreased synthesis of ceramide via nSMase2 inhibition led to reduced secretion of miRNAs. Overexpression of nSMase2 elevated the extracellular miRNA levels [19]. However, the mechanisms behind the miRNA sorting are not well understood. Mechanistically, the loading of miRNAs into EVs may rely on factors including the binding motifs of the miRNAs and the miRNA-associated proteins such as Argonaute 2 (Ago2), Alix, and MEX3C (Table 1). For the miRNA motifs, the heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A2B1 (hnRNPA2B1) controlled the packaging of miRNAs into EVs via binding the recognition motif GGAG of miRNAs. Mutagenesis of the binding motifs or alteration of hnRNPA2B1 sumoylation altered the loading [20]. Another motif-binding protein, the synaptotagmin-binding cytoplasmic RNA-interacting protein (SYNCRIP), recognized GGCU motif in miRNAs. Knockdown of SYNCRIP impaired the sorting of miRNAs

Table 1 Mechanisms for miRNA sorting

References	Regulating factor	Mechanisms	miRNA examples
Villarroya-Beltri et al. 2013 [20]	GGAG motif	Nuclear ribonucleoprotein A2B1 binds GGAG in miRNAs.	miR-198 and miR-601
Santangelo et al. 2016 [21]	GGCU motif	Synaptotagmin-binding cytoplasmic RNA-interacting protein (SYNCRIP) recognizes GGCU motif in miRNAs.	miR-3470a and miR-194-2-3p
Koppers-Lalic et al. 2014 [22]	3'-End uridylation	3'-End posttranscriptional modification with uridylation demarcates EV miRNAs.	miR-486-5p
Gibbings et al. 2009 [23] and McKenzie et al. 2016 [24]	Ago 2	<ol> <li>Ago2 binds with miRNAs to form the RISC.</li> <li>RISC associates with MVB to control miRNA sorting.</li> </ol>	let-7a, miR-100, and miR-320a
lavello et al. 2016 [25]	Alix	Alix binds to Ago2 and miRNAs.	miR-24, miR-31, and miR-125b
Lu et al. 2017 [26]	MEX3C	MEX3C binds with AP-2 and promotes miR-451a sorting.	miR-451a

into EVs. Adding the GGCU motif into a poorly exported miRNA promoted its sorting into EVs [21]. Another study showed that 3'-uridylated miRNAs were enriched in EVs as compared with parental B cells while the mechanism is unknown [22]. In terms of the miRNA-associated proteins, Ago2 is an effector protein known to bind with miR-NAs to form the RISC. Then, RISC is able to associate with MVB which produce EVs after fusion with the plasma membrane, indicating that Ago2 could control the sorting of miRNAs [23]. Both Ago2 level and Ago2 phosphorylation by MEK-ERK regulated the sorting of let-7a, miR-100, and miR-320a into the EVs [24]. Alix, which is known to associate with the endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) and the generation of EVs, has been reported in participating in miRNA packing. Knockdown of Alix did not affect the number of EVs released by human liver stem-like cells, but it significantly reduced the miRNA levels in the EVs [25]. However, knockdown of ESCRT proteins did not affect miRNA sorting to EVs, indicating ESCRT is not involved in miRNA packing [19]. Finally, MEX3C is a RNA-binding ubiquitin E3 ligase and interacts with adaptor-related protein complex 2 (AP-2), a cargo adaptor in clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Inhibition of the MEX3C or AP-2 decreased the level of miR-451a in EVs but not cellular miR-451a [26].

# Uptake of EVs by target cells

The contents of EVs may gain entry into target cells via two mechanisms: endocytosis and fusion. The primary entry mechanism of EVs is endocytosis, including clathrindependent endocytosis and clathrin-independent pathways which are mediated by caveolin, macropinocytosis, phagocytosis, and lipid raft [27]. A population of EVs may be internalized by more than one mechanism depending on the cell types and composition of EVs [28]. The selection of target cells is mediated by surface receptors and adhesion molecules (i.e., tetraspanins, integrins, proteoglycans, and lectins) on both EVs and target cells. Rana et al. reported that EVs expressing tetraspanin 8 were preferentially taken up by endothelial and pancreatic cells [29]. The second entry mechanism of EVs is a direct fusion of the EV membrane with the cell plasma membrane [30]. Parolini et al. showed that the fusion of labeled EVs with melanoma cells was enhanced under acidic conditions [30]. Montecalvo et al. demonstrated that spontaneous transfer of EVs occurred between dendritic cells via fusion and release of the content into the cytosol [31].

Mechanisms for uptake of MSC-EVs by target cells have also been investigated in several studies. In a model of myocardial infarction, Arslan et al. reported that MSC-EVs were internalized by cardiomyocytes at the infarct site via endocytosis or phagocytosis. They also demonstrated that homogenized EVs failed to reduce the infarct size [32]. Vonk et al. found that MSC-EVs were

taken up by chondrocytes from osteoarthritic patients as short as 30 min of incubation. In addition, MSC-EVs co-localized with late endosomal marker LAMP-1 [33]. Stik et al. documented that MSC-EVs were selectively taken up by hematopoietic stem cells ex vivo and in vivo and maintained the survival and clonogenic potential of hematopoietic stem cells by preventing apoptosis [34].

## Functional transfer of miRNAs via EVs

Many reports have documented that miRNAs packaged in EVs can affect the function of the recipient cells. Valadi et al. were the first to report that EVs contained transferable and functional mRNAs and miRNAs, showing that new mouse proteins were discovered in the recipient cells after transferring the content of mouse EVs to human mast cells [35]. Pegtel et al. showed that Epstein-Barr viral miRNAs were secreted from infected B cells via EVs and transferred to dendritic cells, resulting in miRNA-mediated repression of EBV target genes such as CXCL11/ITAC [36]. In another study of functional transfer of miRNAs, nematode miRNAs were discovered in mouse cells when incubated with nematode EVs, leading to the suppression of target gene Dusp1. In vivo, type 2 innate responses were suppressed when nematode EVs were administered to mice [37]. In addition, Zhou et al. revealed that when plasma EVs from erythropoietin-treated mice were cultured with fibroblasts, miR-144 levels in fibroblasts were elevated in a dose-dependent manner, resulting in reduced expression of tissue plasminogen activator. However, the expression of pre-miR-144 was unchanged, indicating that the miR-144 increase is the result of transfer from EVs to fibroblasts rather than de novo synthesis [38]. Transfer of functional miRNAs is also manifested in MSC-EVs. miR-146a was upregulated by treating MSCs with IL-1β and selectively packaged into MSC-EVs. Coculture of the MSC-EVs with macrophages led to an elevated level of miR-146a and M2 polarization, which was blocked by miR-146a inhibitor [39].

## Composition of miRNAs in MSC-EVs

Several studies have compared the contents of miRNAs between MSC-EVs and their parental MSCs. Shao et al. reported that the expression of miR-21 and miR-15, which inhibits cardiac functions, was significantly lower in MSC-EVs compared to that of MSCs [40]. miRNA array analysis revealed that miRNA profiles for human glioma-associated MSCs-EVs and human bone marrow MSC-EVs were significantly different from their parental cells. In addition, a group of 8 miRNAs was enriched in glioma-associated MSCs-EVs compared to the parental cells [41]. Baglio et al. showed that the top 4 miRNAs enriched in MSC-EVs compared to MSCs were miR-4485, miR-150-5p, miR-6087,

and miR-486-5p. On the other hand, the top 4 miRNAs overrepresented in the MSCs were miR-34a-5p, miR-34c-5p, miR-15a-5p, and miR-136-3p [42]. In MSC-EVs derived from porcine adipose tissue, miRNA sequence analysis annotated a total of 413 miRNAs. However, only miR-183, miR-378, miR-140-3p, and miR-222 were more abundant in EVs compared to MSCs. Further analysis showed that this set of miRNAs targets transcription factors such as SMAD family member 2, POU class-2 homeobox 1, and One cut homeobox 2. The results indicate that miRNAs in MSC-EVs from the porcine adipose tissue may function via modulating transcription factors of recipient cells [43].

There is a lack of a consensus miRNA signature among MSC-EVs from different sources. Table 2 listed miRNAs that are the most highly expressed in the MSC-EVs examined. Baglio et al. compared the miRNA composition of MSC-EVs from adipose- and bone marrow-derived MSCs. The top 5 most abundant miR-NAs in the adipose-derived MSC-EVs were miR-486-5p, miR-10a-5p, miR-10b-5p, miR-191-5p, and miR-222-3p. On the other hand, miR-143-3p, miR-10b-5p, miR-486-5p, miR-22-3p, and miR-21-5p were among the most abundant for bone marrow-derived MSC-EVs [42]. In a study from MSC-EVs of human umbilical cord-derived MSCs using high-throughput RNA sequencing, top 8 abundant miRNAs accounted for 40.7% of the total miRNAs. Functional analysis demonstrated that 4 of the 8 miRNAs (miR-21, miR-23a, miR-125b, and miR-145) were essential in suppressing myofibroblast formation by blocking the transforming growth factorβ2/SMAD2 pathway in wound healing [44]. In another study, miRNA profiling analysis of human bone marrow MSC-EVs revealed 171 miRNAs. The top 23 miRNAs made up 79.1% of all the miRNAs, while the rest 148 miRNAs represented a very small percentage of the total reads (0.03 to 0.7%). The top 23 miRNAs target 5481

genes with high stringency by prediction. At system level, the targeted genes participate in angiogenesis. The targeted pathways include Wnt signaling, pro-fibrotic signaling, cell proliferation, and apoptosis [45]. Luther et al. reported that miR-21a-5p was the most highly expressed miRNAs from EVs of mouse bone marrow-derived MSCs and mediated the cardioprotection by MSCs [46]. Lack of a consensus miRNA profile among MSC-EVs may indicate that the expression of miRNAs is influenced by many factors and that individual miRNA may work synergistically to mediate the effects of MSC-EVs.

# MSC-EV-mediated transfer of miRNAs in renal diseases

Cantaluppi et al. were the first to show that EVs from endothelial progenitor cells alleviated kidney injury from ischemia-reperfusion via transfer of miRNAs to resident renal cells [10]. Since then, many groups have examined whether the same mechanism also applies to MSC-EVs in renal and other diseases in vivo (Table 3) and in vitro. EVs from MSCs with global downregulation of miRNAs via Drosha knockdown had lower miRNA content [47]. In a mouse model of glycerol-induced acute kidney injury, intravenous administration of MSC-EVs resulted in morphologic and functional recovery, while the Drosha knockdown counterparts were not effective [48]. In an in vitro model of ischemia-reperfusion injury induced by ATP depletion, Lindoso et al. examined the mechanism of MSC-EVs on miRNA upregulation in renal proximal tubular epithelial cells. Both EV-mediated miRNA transfer and transcriptional regulation of miRNAs in tubular epithelial cells were involved in the process. The predicted targets of involved miRNAs included genes associated with apoptosis, reorganization of the cytoskeleton, and hypoxia [49]. Wang et al. reported that MSCs with miRNA-let7c overexpression homed to damaged kidneys

Table 2 miRNAs expression profile of MSC-EVs from different studies

References	Sources of MSCs	Most highly expressed miRNAs	Comments
Baglio et al. 2015 [42]	Human adipose-derived MSCs, passages 2–3	miR-486-5p, miR-10a-5p, miR-10b-5p, miR-191-5p, and miR-222-3p	The five most abundant miRNAs accounted for 43–59% of the total miRNA reads.
Baglio et al. 2015 [42]	Human BM-derived MSCs, passages 2–3	miR-143-3p, miR-10b-5p, miR-486-5p, miR-22-3p, and miR-21-5p	
Fang et al. 2016 [44]	Human umbilical cord-derived MSCs, passages 2–5	miR-21-5p, miR-125b-5p, miR-23a-3p, miR-100-5p, let-7f-5p, let-7a-5p, miR-145-5p, and miR-1260b	The top 8 miRNAs account for 40.7% of total miRNAs.
Ferguson et al. 2018 [45]	Human BM-derived MSCs, passages 1–7	miR-1246, miR-23a-3p, miR-451a, miR-125b-5p, miR-199a-3p, let-7a-5p, miR-4454, and miR-21-5p	The top 23 miRNAs account for 79.1% of total miRNAs.
Luther et al. 2018 [46]	B6 mouse BM-MSCs	miR-21a-5p, miR-486b-5p, miR-486a-3p, miR-143-3p, miR-92a-3p, miR-486a-5p, miR-486b-3p, and miR-22-3p	

 Table 3
 Studies demonstrating the MSC-EV-mediated transfer of miRNAs in animal models

References	Disease model	Treatment with MSC-EVs or MSCs, dose, duration, and route	Major findings	miRNA transferred	Target proteins
Collino et al. 2015 [48]	Mouse, rhabdomyolysis- induced acute kidney injury	MSC-EVs with Drosha knockdown or control, 2.2 × 10 <sup>8</sup> EV particles, on day 3 of injury, tail veil	Drosha knockdown blocked morphologic and functional recovery in acute kidney injury	Reduction in all miRNAs in EVs from Drosha knockdown	ND
Wang et al. 2016 [50]	Mouse, renal fibrosis from ureteral obstruction	MSCs with miR-let7c overexpression, $1 \times 10^6$ cells, 0 h after obstruction, intravenous	Attenuated kidney injury and fibrosis	miR-let7c	TGF-β receptor 1
Gu et al. 2016 [51]	Rat, renal ischemia- reperfusion injury	MSC-EVs, 100 µg, 0 h after reperfusion, intravenous	Protect the kidney from the injury by inhibition of mitochondrial fission	miR-30	DRP1
Feng et al. 2014 [52]	Mouse, MI	MSC-EVs from ischemic precondition, 1 µg, 0 h after ischemia, intramyocardium	Reduced infarct size	miR-22	Methyl-CpG-binding protein 2
Yu et al. 2015 [53]	Rat, MI	EVs from MSCs with GATA-4 overexpression, harvested from 4 × 10 <sup>6</sup> MSCs, 0 h after ischemia, intramyocardium	Reduced infarct size, promoted cardiac function recovery	miR-19a	PTEN
Wang et al. 2015 [54]	Mouse, sepsis-induced cardiac dysfunction	MSC-EVs, 2 µg/g body weight, 1 h after cecal ligation and puncture model, intravenous	Protection against cardiac dysfunction, apoptosis, and inflammatory response	miR-223	Sema3A and Stat3
Lou et al. 2015 [56]	Nude mouse, hepatocellular carcinoma	MSC-EVs, 50 µg, intra-tumor injection	Increased the antitumor efficacy of sorafenib on hepatocellular carcinoma	miR-122	Cyclin G1 and IGF1R
Lou et al. 2017 [57]	Mouse, CCI4-induced liver fibrosis	MSCs with miR-122 overexpression, 1 × 10 <sup>5</sup> cells, 1 day after the fourth injection of CCl4, tail vein	Alleviated liver fibrosis and collagen deposition	miR-122	Cyclin G1, IGF1R, and P4HA1
Chen et al. 2018 [58]	Mouse, experimental autoimmune hepatitis	EVs from MSCs with miR-223 overexpression; dose not shown; on days 21, 28, and 35 of 42-day experiment; intraperitoneally	Reduced inflammation and reversed liver injury	miR-223	NLRP3
Qu et al. 2017 [59]	Mouse, CCI4-induced liver fibrosis	EVs from MSCs with miR-181 overexpression, 40 µg, twice weekly for 8 weeks, intrasplenic injection	Inhibited liver fibrosis and activated autophagy	miR-181	Bcl-2 and Stat3
Xin et al. 2012 [60]	Rat, stroke from middle cerebral artery occlusion	MSCs, 3 × 10 <sup>6</sup> cells, 24 h postischemia, tail vein	MSCs increased miR-133b level in the brain, MSC-EVs elevated neurite outgrowth in vitro	miR-133	RhoA (candidate)
Xin et al. 2013 [61]	Rat, stroke from middle cerebral artery occlusion	MSCs with miR-133 overexpression or knockdown, 3 × 10 <sup>6</sup> cells, 24 h postischemia, tail vein	miR-133 enhanced axonal plasticity and neurite remodeling	miR-133	Connective tissue growth factor and RhoA
Xin et al. 2017 [62]	Rat, stroke from middle cerebral artery occlusion	EVs from MSCs with miR-17-92 cluster expression, 100 µg, 24 h postischemia, intravenous	Increased neural plasticity and functional recovery	miR-17-92 cluster	PTEN

MSCs mesenchymal stem cells, EVs extracellular vesicles, MI myocardial infarction, IGF1R insulin-like growth factor receptor 1, P4HA1 prolyl 4-hydroxylase alpha 1, ND not determined

and elevated miR-let7c levels in the kidney in a mouse model of unilateral ureteral obstruction. In vitro, miR-let7c was transferred from MSCs to kidney tubular epithelial cells via EVs. The upregulated miR-let7c targeted TGF- $\beta$  receptor 1 and attenuated renal fibrosis [50]. In a rat model of acute renal ischemia-reperfusion injury, treatment of MSC-EVs inhibited mitochondria fission in tubular epithelial cells, restored miR-30 levels in injured kidney, and reduced apoptosis. The protective effect of MSC-EVs was lost when treated with miR-30 antagomirs [51].

# MSC-EV-mediated transfer of miRNAs in cardiac diseases

In a mouse model of myocardial infarction, MSC-EVs alleviated cardiac injury. In vitro, EVs enriched with miR-22 were produced by MSCs after ischemic precondition, transferred to cardiomyocytes, and reduced ischemia-induced cardiomyocyte apoptosis. The protective effect of miR-22 was facilitated by target protein methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 [52]. In a rat model of myocardial infarction, EVs from MSCs with GATA-4 overexpression reduced infarct size when injected to the border of an ischemic region. The MSC-EVs were enriched with miR-19a and internalized by cardiomyocytes in vitro. miR-19a inhibitor blocked the protective effects of MSC-EVs. Furthermore, miR-19a targeted PTEN, resulting in the activation of the Akt and ERK [53]. In a mouse model of polymicrobial sepsis, MSC-EVs with miR-223 knockout exacerbated cardiac dysfunction, while wild-type had protective effects. It was proposed that wild-type MSC-EVs delivered miR-223 to cardiomyocytes which downregulated Sema3A and Stat3, two genes regulating inflammation and cell death [54]. Recently, another group reported that MSCs treated with hydrogen peroxide produced EVs with higher miR-21 than control. C-kit+ cardiac stem cells treated with the MSC-EVs had a higher level of miR-21, lower expression of PTEN (miR-21 target protein), and lower oxidative stress-induced apoptosis as compared with the control. Furthermore, the effect of MSC-EVs was blocked by a miR-21 inhibitor [55].

# MSC-EV-mediated transfer of miRNAs in liver diseases

Similar findings have also been documented in liver diseases. In a study of hematoma cells, Lou et al. reported that miR-122 was effectively packaged into EVs of MSCs with miR-122 overexpression, transferred into hepatoma cells, and reduced the expression of target genes, such as cyclin G1 and insulin-like growth factor receptor 1 in the cancer cells. In vivo, the MSC-EVs sensitized hepatoma cells to chemotherapeutic agent sorafenib [56]. The same group also documented that miR-122 was transferred from the MSC-EVs with miR-122 overexpression to hepatic stellate cells and inhibited the expression of its

target genes. These genes included insulin-like growth factor receptor 1, Cyclin G1, and prolyl-4-hydroxylase α1, which promoted the synthesis of collagen in hepatic stellate cells. In vivo, MSCs with miR-122 overexpression enhanced the therapeutic effect of MSCs in mitigating carbon tetrachloride-induced liver fibrosis [57]. In a mouse model of experimental autoimmune hepatitis, Chen et al. found that MSC-EVs and MSC-EVs with lentiviral transduction of miR-223 alleviated liver injury, while EVs from MSCs treated with miR-223 inhibitor lost the protective effect. EVs from MSCs transduced with miR-223 reduced the levels of target protein NLRP3 and caspase-1 in vitro [58]. In a mouse model of CCl4-induced liver fibrosis, EVs from MSCs with miRNA-181-5p overexpression alleviated liver fibrosis via activation of autophagy. In vitro, transfer of miRNA-181-5p from MSCs to mouse hepatic stellate cells was shown to be mediated by EV uptake [59].

# MSC-EV-mediated transfer of miRNAs in brain diseases

Xin et al. reported that MSC treatment of rats with stroke elevated miR-133b level in the ipsilateral hemisphere. In vitro, miR-133b was transferred from MSC-EVs to primary cultured neurons and astrocytes. Cultured neurons treated with EVs from MSCs exposed to brain extracts of middle cerebral artery occlusion significantly induced the length branch number and length [60]. The group also documented that infusion of MSCs with miR-133b overexpression, compared with wild-type MSCs, enhanced functional recovery and promoted neurite remodeling in ischemic boundary zone of rats with stroke. In addition, the expression of RhoA, a target protein for miR-133b, was significantly diminished in the ischemic boundary zone after treatment of MSCs with miR-133b overexpression. Furthermore, when rats were treated with MSCs expressing GFP fusion protein containing CD63, a marker for EVs, EVs were released from MSCs and transferred to neighboring astrocytes and neurons [61]. Recently, the same group showed that treatment of stroke rats with tailored EVs containing miR-17-92 cluster enrichment enhanced functional recovery of the brain via downregulation of PTEN expression [62].

miRNA transfer also mediates the effects of MSC-EVs in brain tumors. Figueroa et al. found that EVs from glioma-associated MSCs elevated proliferation and tumorigenicity of glioma stem-like cells in vivo. miRNA profile analysis identified that miR-1587 mediated the effects of MSC-EVs on glioma stem-like cells, in part via downregulation of the nuclear hormone receptor corepressor-1 [41]. In another study, when glioblastoma cells were cultured in the presence of MSCs with anti-miR-9 overexpression, resistance to temozolomide was reversed as evidenced by increased cell death and caspase activity. Flow cytometry study revealed

that Cy5 fluorescence could be detected in glioblastoma cells when incubated with EVs from MSCs transfected with anti-miR-9-Cy5, indicating a direct transfer of anti-miR-9 from EVs to glioblastoma cells [63]. Ono et al. reported that breast cancer BM2 cells acquired dormant phenotypes with suppressed proliferation and invasion after culturing with MSC-EVs. RT-PCR analysis revealed that miR-23b was more abundant in EVs from MSCs as compared with fibroblasts. Overexpression of miR-23b in BM2 cells led to dormant phenotypes via inhibiting MARCKS, resulting in reduced cell cycling and motility [64].

#### **Conclusions**

Over the past decade, MSC-EV-based therapies have shown promising results in animal models for a myriad of diseases. MSC-EVs exert their effects mainly via immunomodulation, tissue regeneration, anti-apoptosis, and regulation of tumor progression. MSC-EVs are cell-free products and reduce the risks associated with the use of native or engineered MSCs. The effects of MSC-EVs are of equal potency to those observed with whole MSCs. Therefore, MSC-EVs may be a promising alternative to MSC therapy. As with other cell types, the main functional RNA components in MSC-EVs are miRNAs, which are selectively sorted into EVs and protected from degradation. The release of MSC-EVs allows cells to communicate with other adjacent or distant cells by transferring miRNAs and other biologically active molecules into recipient cells via endocytosis and/or fusion. The effects of MSC-EVs are dependent on the profiles of their miRNAs, which regulate the expression of multiple target genes and participate in various cell signaling processes. However, there is a wide knowledge gap between miRNAs in MSC-EVs and altered gene expression in the target cells. Foremost, it remains unknown what the miRNA signatures of MSC-EVs are. There has not been any individual miRNA or miRNA panel that has been reported as a consensus miRNA profile of MSC-EVs. The lack of miRNA signatures may result from small sample sizes and heterogeneity in methodology, culture condition, cell origin, and cell status. It remains unclear how diverse miRNA profiles in different MSC-EVs exert similar protective function in animal models. Secondly, the sorting mechanisms of miRNAs into MSC-EVs have not been determined. The existing sorting pathways proposed in the literature apply to only a limited number of miRNAs and to some cell types. A general mechanism of miRNA sorting into EVs or MSC-EVs is still unavailable. Lastly, the principle target genes of miR-NAs in MSC-EVs also remain unspecified. Based on the bioinformatics tools, dozens or hundreds of genes are potential targets of a single miRNA. Many of the target

genes could work independently, synergistically, or even antagonistically. It would be difficult to attribute an observed miRNA-dependent phenotype to a single target as reported in most literature. Further studies may pave the way to develop MSC-EVs therapeutics based on miRNA delivery.

#### **Abbreviations**

Ago 2: Agonaute 2; ESCRT: Endosomal sorting complex required for transport; EVs: Extracellular vesicles; hnRNPA2B1: Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A2B1; ISEV: International Society for Extracellular Vesicles; miRNA: microRNA; MSC-EVs: MSC-derived EVs; MSCs: Mesenchymal stromal cells; MVB: Multivesicular bodies; nSMase2: Neutral sphingomyelinase 2; PrimiRNAs: Primary miRNAs; RISC: RNA-induced silencing complex; SYNCRIP: Synaptotagmin-binding cytoplasmic RNA-interacting protein

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

#### **Funding**

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (LYY18H310008 to GQ and LGF18H150007 to GZ), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81671956) and the Zhejiang Provincial Program for the Cultivation of High-level Innovative Health Talents (2016-6) to QS, and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81570071 and 81772122) to JX.

## Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

#### Authors' contributions

GQ, QS, and JX contributed to the concept of the review. GQ, GZ, MG, JW, RH, QS, and JX were responsible for the reference selection and writing of the manuscript. GQ, QS, and JX contributed to the critical review of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Shaoxing Second Hospital, 123 Yanan Road, Shaoxing 312000, Zhejiang, China. <sup>2</sup>The Children's Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine, 3333 Binsheng Road, Hangzhou 310051, Zhejiang, China. <sup>3</sup>The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine, 79 Qingchun Road, Hangzhou 310003, Zhejiang, China.

## Published online: 21 November 2018

#### References

- Zheng G, Huang L, Tong H, Shu Q, Hu Y, Ge M, Deng K, Zhang L, Zou B, Cheng B, Xu J. Treatment of acute respiratory distress syndrome with allogeneic adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells: a randomized, placebo-controlled pilot study. Respir Res. 2014;15:39.
- Bernardo ME, Ball LM, Cometa AM, Roelofs H, Zecca M, Avanzini MA, Bertaina A, Vinti L, Lankester A, Maccario R, et al. Co-infusion of ex vivoexpanded, parental MSCs prevents life-threatening acute GVHD, but does not reduce the risk of graft failure in pediatric patients undergoing allogeneic umbilical cord blood transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2011;46:200-7.

- Hare JM, Traverse JH, Henry TD, Dib N, Strumpf RK, Schulman SP, Gerstenblith G, DeMaria AN, Denktas AE, Gammon RS, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation study of intravenous adult human mesenchymal stem cells (prochymal) after acute myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;54:2277–86.
- Gnecchi M, He H, Liang OD, Melo LG, Morello F, Mu H, Noiseux N, Zhang L, Pratt RE, Ingwall JS, Dzau VJ. Paracrine action accounts for marked protection of ischemic heart by Akt-modified mesenchymal stem cells. Nat Med. 2005;11:367–8.
- Nooshabadi VT, Mardpour S, Yousefi-Ahmadipour A, Allahverdi A, Izadpanah M, Daneshimehr F, Ai J, Banafshe HR, Ebrahimi-Barough S. The extracellular vesicles-derived from mesenchymal stromal cells: a new therapeutic option in regenerative medicine. J Cell Biochem. 2018;119(10):8048–73.
- Yeo RW, Lai RC, Zhang B, Tan SS, Yin Y, Teh BJ, Lim SK. Mesenchymal stem cell: an efficient mass producer of exosomes for drug delivery. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2013;65:336–41.
- Lotvall J, Hill AF, Hochberg F, Buzas El, Di Vizio D, Gardiner C, Gho YS, Kurochkin IV, Mathivanan S, Quesenberry P, et al. Minimal experimental requirements for definition of extracellular vesicles and their functions: a position statement from the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. 2014;3:26913.
- 8. Holm MM, Kaiser J, Schwab ME. Extracellular vesicles: multimodal envoys in neural maintenance and repair. Trends Neurosci. 2018;41(6):360–72.
- Zijlstra C, Stoorvogel W. Prostasomes as a source of diagnostic biomarkers for prostate cancer. J Clin Invest. 2016;126:1144–51.
- Cantaluppi V, Gatti S, Medica D, Figliolini F, Bruno S, Deregibus MC, Sordi A, Biancone L, Tetta C, Camussi G. Microvesicles derived from endothelial progenitor cells protect the kidney from ischemia-reperfusion injury by microRNA-dependent reprogramming of resident renal cells. Kidney Int. 2012;82:412–27.
- Sun J, Aswath K, Schroeder SG, Lippolis JD, Reinhardt TA, Sonstegard TS. MicroRNA expression profiles of bovine milk exosomes in response to Staphylococcus aureus infection. BMC Genomics. 2015;16:806.
- Bartel DP. MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. Cell. 2004:116:281–97.
- Winter J, Jung S, Keller S, Gregory RI, Diederichs S. Many roads to maturity: microRNA biogenesis pathways and their regulation. Nat Cell Biol. 2009;11: 228–34.
- Gregory RI, Chendrimada TP, Cooch N, Shiekhattar R. Human RISC couples microRNA biogenesis and posttranscriptional gene silencing. Cell. 2005;123: 631–40.
- Hessvik NP, Phuyal S, Brech A, Sandvig K, Llorente A. Profiling of microRNAs in exosomes released from PC-3 prostate cancer cells. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1819;2012:1154–63.
- Nolte-'t Hoen EN, Buermans HP, Waasdorp M, Stoorvogel W, Wauben MH, t Hoen PA. Deep sequencing of RNA from immune cell-derived vesicles uncovers the selective incorporation of small non-coding RNA biotypes with potential regulatory functions. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40:9272–85.
- Gon Y, Maruoka S, Inoue T, Kuroda K, Yamagishi K, Kozu Y, Shikano S, Soda K, Lotvall J, Hashimoto S. Selective release of miRNAs via extracellular vesicles is associated with house-dust mite allergen-induced airway inflammation. Clin Exp Allergy. 2017;47:1586–98.
- Akao Y, Iio A, Itoh T, Noguchi S, Itoh Y, Ohtsuki Y, Naoe T. Microvesiclemediated RNA molecule delivery system using monocytes/macrophages. Mol Ther. 2011;19:395–9.
- Kosaka N, Iguchi H, Yoshioka Y, Takeshita F, Matsuki Y, Ochiya T. Secretory mechanisms and intercellular transfer of microRNAs in living cells. J Biol Chem. 2010;285:17442–52.
- Villarroya-Beltri C, Gutierrez-Vazquez C, Sanchez-Cabo F, Perez-Hernandez D, Vazquez J, Martin-Cofreces N, Martinez-Herrera DJ, Pascual-Montano A, Mittelbrunn M, Sanchez-Madrid F. Sumoylated hnRNPA2B1 controls the sorting of miRNAs into exosomes through binding to specific motifs. Nat Commun. 2013;4:2980.
- Santangelo L, Giurato G, Cicchini C, Montaldo C, Mancone C, Tarallo R, Battistelli C, Alonzi T, Weisz A, Tripodi M. The RNA-binding protein SYNCRIP is a component of the hepatocyte exosomal machinery controlling microRNA sorting. Cell Rep. 2016;17:799–808.
- Koppers-Lalic D, Hackenberg M, Bijnsdorp IV, MAJ v E, Sadek P, Sie D, Zini N, Middeldorp JM, Ylstra B, de Menezes RX, et al. Nontemplated nucleotide additions distinguish the small RNA composition in cells from exosomes. Cell Rep. 2014;8:1649–58.

- Gibbings DJ, Ciaudo C, Erhardt M, Voinnet O. Multivesicular bodies associate with components of miRNA effector complexes and modulate miRNA activity. Nat Cell Biol. 2009;11:1143–9.
- McKenzie AJ, Hoshino D, Hong NH, Cha DJ, Franklin JL, Coffey RJ, Patton JG, Weaver AM. KRAS-MEK signaling controls Ago2 sorting into exosomes. Cell Rep. 2016;15:978–87.
- lavello A, Frech VS, Gai C, Deregibus MC, Quesenberry PJ, Camussi G. Role of Alix in miRNA packaging during extracellular vesicle biogenesis. Int J Mol Med. 2016;37:958–66.
- Lu P, Li H, Li N, Singh RN, Bishop CE, Chen X, Lu B. MEX3C interacts with adaptor-related protein complex 2 and involves in miR-451a exosomal sorting. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0185992.
- Mulcahy LA, Pink RC, Carter DR. Routes and mechanisms of extracellular vesicle uptake. J Extracell Vesicles. 2014;3:24641.
- French KC, Antonyak MA, Cerione RA. Extracellular vesicle docking at the cellular port: extracellular vesicle binding and uptake. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2017;67:48–55.
- Rana S, Yue S, Stadel D, Zoller M. Toward tailored exosomes: the exosomal tetraspanin web contributes to target cell selection. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2012;44:1574–84.
- Parolini I, Federici C, Raggi C, Lugini L, Palleschi S, De Milito A, Coscia C, Iessi E, Logozzi M, Molinari A, et al. Microenvironmental pH is a key factor for exosome traffic in tumor cells. J Biol Chem. 2009;284:34211–22.
- Montecalvo A, Larregina AT, Shufesky WJ, Stolz DB, Sullivan ML, Karlsson JM, Baty CJ, Gibson GA, Erdos G, Wang Z, et al. Mechanism of transfer of functional microRNAs between mouse dendritic cells via exosomes. Blood. 2012;119:756–66
- 32. Arslan F, Lai RC, Smeets MB, Akeroyd L, Choo A, Aguor EN, Timmers L, van Rijen HV, Doevendans PA, Pasterkamp G, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes increase ATP levels, decrease oxidative stress and activate PI3K/Akt pathway to enhance myocardial viability and prevent adverse remodeling after myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury. Stem Cell Res. 2013;10:301–12.
- Vonk LA, van Dooremalen SFJ, Liv N, Klumperman J, Coffer PJ, Saris DBF, Lorenowicz MJ. Mesenchymal stromal/stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles promote human cartilage regeneration in vitro. Theranostics. 2018; 8:906–20.
- Stik G, Crequit S, Petit L, Durant J, Charbord P, Jaffredo T, Durand C. Extracellular vesicles of stromal origin target and support hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. J Cell Biol. 2017;216:2217–30.
- 35. Valadi H, Ekstrom K, Bossios A, Sjostrand M, Lee JJ, Lotvall JO. Exosome-mediated transfer of mRNAs and microRNAs is a novel mechanism of genetic exchange between cells. Nat Cell Biol. 2007;9:654–9.
- Pegtel DM, Cosmopoulos K, Thorley-Lawson DA, van Eijndhoven MA, Hopmans ES, Lindenberg JL, de Gruijl TD, Wurdinger T, Middeldorp JM. Functional delivery of viral miRNAs via exosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107:6328–33.
- Buck AH, Coakley G, Simbari F, McSorley HJ, Quintana JF, Le Bihan T, Kumar S, Abreu-Goodger C, Lear M, Harcus Y, et al. Exosomes secreted by nematode parasites transfer small RNAs to mammalian cells and modulate innate immunity. Nat Commun. 2014;5:5488.
- Zhou Y, Fang L, Yu Y, Niu J, Jiang L, Cao H, Sun Q, Zen K, Dai C, Yang J. Erythropoietin protects the tubular basement membrane by promoting the bone marrow to release extracellular vesicles containing tPA-targeting miR-144. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2016;310:F27–40.
- Song Y, Dou H, Li X, Zhao X, Li Y, Liu D, Ji J, Liu F, Ding L, Ni Y, Hou Y. Exosomal miR-146a contributes to the enhanced therapeutic efficacy of interleukin-1beta-primed mesenchymal stem cells against sepsis. Stem Cells. 2017;35:1208–21.
- Shao L, Zhang Y, Lan B, Wang J, Zhang Z, Zhang L, Xiao P, Meng Q, Geng YJ, Yu XY, Li Y. MiRNA-sequence indicates that mesenchymal stem cells and exosomes have similar mechanism to enhance cardiac repair. Biomed Res Int. 2017;2017:4150705.
- Figueroa J, Phillips LM, Shahar T, Hossain A, Gumin J, Kim H, Bean AJ, Calin GA, Fueyo J, Walters ET, et al. Exosomes from glioma-associated mesenchymal stem cells increase the tumorigenicity of glioma stem-like cells via transfer of miR-1587. Cancer Res. 2017;77:5808–19.
- Baglio SR, Rooijers K, Koppers-Lalic D, Verweij FJ, Perez Lanzon M, Zini N, Naaijkens B, Perut F, Niessen HW, Baldini N, Pegtel DM. Human bone marrow- and adipose-mesenchymal stem cells secrete exosomes enriched in distinctive miRNA and tRNA species. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2015;6:127.

- Eirin A, Zhu XY, Puranik AS, Woollard JR, Tang H, Dasari S, Lerman A, van Wijnen AJ, Lerman LO. Integrated transcriptomic and proteomic analysis of the molecular cargo of extracellular vesicles derived from porcine adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0174303.
- 44. Fang S, Xu C, Zhang Y, Xue C, Yang C, Bi H, Qian X, Wu M, Ji K, Zhao Y, et al. Umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomal microRNAs suppress myofibroblast differentiation by inhibiting the transforming growth factor-beta/SMAD2 pathway during wound healing. Stem Cells Transl Med. 2016;5:1425–39.
- Ferguson SW, Wang J, Lee CJ, Liu M, Neelamegham S, Canty JM, Nguyen J. The microRNA regulatory landscape of MSC-derived exosomes: a systems view. Sci Rep. 2018:8:1419.
- Luther KM, Haar L, McGuinness M, Wang Y, Lynch Iv TL, Phan A, Song Y, Shen Z, Gardner G, Kuffel G, et al. Exosomal miR-21a-5p mediates cardioprotection by mesenchymal stem cells. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2018;119: 125–37.
- Nawaz M, Fatima F, Vallabhaneni KC, Penfornis P, Valadi H, Ekstrom K, Kholia S, Whitt JD, Fernandes JD, Pochampally R, et al. Extracellular vesicles: evolving factors in stem cell biology. Stem Cells Int. 2016;2016:1073140.
- Collino F, Bruno S, Incarnato D, Dettori D, Neri F, Provero P, Pomatto M, Oliviero S, Tetta C, Quesenberry PJ, Camussi G. AKI recovery induced by mesenchymal stromal cell-derived extracellular vesicles carrying microRNAs. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2015;26:2349–60.
- Lindoso RS, Collino F, Bruno S, Araujo DS, Sant'Anna JF, Tetta C, Provero P, Quesenberry PJ, Vieyra A, Einicker-Lamas M, Camussi G. Extracellular vesicles released from mesenchymal stromal cells modulate miRNA in renal tubular cells and inhibit ATP depletion injury. Stem Cells Dev. 2014;23:1809–19.
- Wang B, Yao K, Huuskes BM, Shen HH, Zhuang J, Godson C, Brennan EP, Wilkinson-Berka JL, Wise AF, Ricardo SD. Mesenchymal stem cells deliver exogenous microRNA-let7c via exosomes to attenuate renal fibrosis. Mol Ther. 2016;24:1290–301.
- Gu D, Zou X, Ju G, Zhang G, Bao E, Zhu Y. Mesenchymal stromal cells derived extracellular vesicles ameliorate acute renal ischemia reperfusion injury by inhibition of mitochondrial fission through miR-30. Stem Cells Int. 2016;2016:2093940.
- Feng Y, Huang W, Wani M, Yu X, Ashraf M. Ischemic preconditioning potentiates the protective effect of stem cells through secretion of exosomes by targeting Mecp2 via miR-22. PLoS One. 2014;9:e88685.
- Yu B, Kim HW, Gong M, Wang J, Millard RW, Wang Y, Ashraf M, Xu M. Exosomes secreted from GATA-4 overexpressing mesenchymal stem cells serve as a reservoir of anti-apoptotic microRNAs for cardioprotection. Int J Cardiol. 2015;182:349–60.
- Wang X, Gu H, Qin D, Yang L, Huang W, Essandoh K, Wang Y, Caldwell CC, Peng T, Zingarelli B, Fan GC. Exosomal miR-223 contributes to mesenchymal stem cell-elicited cardioprotection in polymicrobial sepsis. Sci Rep. 2015;5: 13721.
- Shi B, Wang Y, Zhao R, Long X, Deng W, Wang Z. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomal miR-21 protects C-kit+ cardiac stem cells from oxidative injury through the PTEN/PI3K/Akt axis. PLoS One. 2018:13:e0191616
- Lou G, Song X, Yang F, Wu S, Wang J, Chen Z, Liu Y. Exosomes derived from miR-122-modified adipose tissue-derived MSCs increase chemosensitivity of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hematol Oncol. 2015;8:122.
- Lou G, Yang Y, Liu F, Ye B, Chen Z, Zheng M, Liu Y. MiR-122 modification enhances the therapeutic efficacy of adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells against liver fibrosis. J Cell Mol Med. 2017;21:2963–73.
- Chen L, Lu FB, Chen DZ, Wu JL, Hu ED, Xu LM, Zheng MH, Li H, Huang Y, Jin XY, et al. BMSCs-derived miR-223-containing exosomes contribute to liver protection in experimental autoimmune hepatitis. Mol Immunol. 2018; 93:38–46.
- Qu Y, Zhang Q, Cai X, Li F, Ma Z, Xu M, Lu L. Exosomes derived from miR-181-5p-modified adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells prevent liver fibrosis via autophagy activation. J Cell Mol Med. 2017;21:2491–502.
- Xin H, Li Y, Buller B, Katakowski M, Zhang Y, Wang X, Shang X, Zhang ZG, Chopp M. Exosome-mediated transfer of miR-133b from multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells to neural cells contributes to neurite outgrowth. Stem Cells. 2012;30:1556–64.
- Xin H, Li Y, Liu Z, Wang X, Shang X, Cui Y, Zhang ZG, Chopp M. MiR-133b promotes neural plasticity and functional recovery after treatment of stroke with multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells in rats via transfer of exosomeenriched extracellular particles. Stem Cells. 2013;31:2737–46.

- Xin H, Katakowski M, Wang F, Qian JY, Liu XS, Ali MM, Buller B, Zhang ZG, Chopp M. MicroRNA cluster miR-17-92 cluster in exosomes enhance neuroplasticity and functional recovery after stroke in rats. Stroke. 2017;48: 747-53.
- Munoz JL, Bliss SA, Greco SJ, Ramkissoon SH, Ligon KL, Rameshwar P. Delivery of functional anti-miR-9 by mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes to glioblastoma multiforme cells conferred chemosensitivity. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids. 2013;2:e126.
- 64. Ono M, Kosaka N, Tominaga N, Yoshioka Y, Takeshita F, Takahashi RU, Yoshida M, Tsuda H, Tamura K, Ochiya T. Exosomes from bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells contain a microRNA that promotes dormancy in metastatic breast cancer cells. Sci Signal. 2014;7:ra63.